AppendixArticle review. Psychiatric conditions. Evidence table 1.

Author,	Study	# of patients;	Description	Descriptn.	Outcome assessed,	Results	Comments
Year, Study	Design	population,	of	of controls	instruments		(limitations,
design		setting	intervention				potential bias)
Roemer	Prospective	Patients with	16 patients	13 patients	(Accelerated)	From pre-treatment to 4 th	Small sample
1990	randomized;	DSM-III-R	received	received	recovery from	session, MECT associated with	Rating of cognitive
	two arms;	major	bilateral two-	bilateral	depressive	more rapid benefit.	side- effects not
	double blind	depressive	seizure	single-	symptoms:	Remission rates (HAM-D	blinded
	rating of	episode, with	induction	seizure	Hamilton rating	ratings $<$ or $=10$) at the end of	No systematic
	depressive	and without	(Modified	(conventnl	scale (HAM-D);	treatment similar in both	measurement of side
	symptoms	psychosis,	MECT)	ECT)	brief psychiatric	groups: 75% for MECT and	effects (chart review
	(for patients	referred to an			rating scale (BPRS)	69% for CECT	less sensitive than
	and raters;	inpatient			Side effects: chart	No prolonged seizures.	direct testing)
	not attending	specialized			entries	Post-treatment confusion (time-	
	psychiatrists)	unit			Measures taken	place disorientation; not	
		N=29			pre-treatment, after	recognizing staff): 62% for	
					session 4 and post	MECT; 15% for SECT	
					treatment	(reversed before discharge)	
Maletzky	Prospective	N=54	27 patients	27 patients	Severity of	Methods did not differ on post-	Non-randomized:
1986	non-	Inpatient	received	received	depression:	treatment effectiveness	selection bias likely
	randomized;	hospital;	unilateral	unilateral	Bunney-Hamburg	measures (at 5 days, 6 and 12	in physician choice of
	two arms;	mean age,	MECT	conventnl	scale; Wakefield	months)	treatment. Baseline
	inclusion	sex ND;	Inclusion	ECT	test, self-reported	Adverse effect measures did not	parameters for
	criteria not	diagnosis:	criteria:		Side effect: side	differ at 5 days post-treatment.	experimental and
	described;	major	determined		effects rating scale	Prolonged seizures more	control groups ND
	blinded raters	depressive	by the		(SES); Weschler	frequent in MECT group (2	ECT performed by
		episodes	attending		Memory scale	MECT patients had 3; one	different practitioners
		(unspecified)	physicians		(WMS)	SECT patient had one)	(possible intervention
					Complications		bias). Side effects ND
					•		> 5 days post Rx

Article review. Psychiatric conditions. Evidence table 1 (cont.)

Author,	Study	# of patients;	Description	Descriptn.	Outcome assessed,	Results	Comments
Year, Study	Design	population;	of	of controls	instruments		(limitations,
design		setting	intervention				potential bias)
Mielke 1984	Retrospective uncontrolled comparison of two treatment groups broken down by age (elderly vs. non-elderly)	First 50 psychiatric unit inpatients with Dx of "endogenous depression" Final N=44 (24 subjects > 60 y/o)	Both treatment groups received MECT (2-5 seizures per session) N=6: bilateral; N=25: unilateral; N=13: both	No matched controls for either treatment group	Number of treatment sessions, number of seizures, total seizure time, presence of complications, adverse effects, individual clinical course (from chart) 6 charts discarded Therapeutic response scale ND	No significant difference in clinical improvement noted between the two age groups One elderly patient (72 y/o) had a myocardial infarction after 3 rd session (11 th seizure). Age ND for other side effects. Post-ictal acute confusional state in "some" patients. All (N=3) patients on lithium had post-ictal confusion, lasting seven days in one case.	No control group Removal of data from six patients unclear Adverse effects not described by age group, preventing comparison b/ groups No formal scale to measure clinical improvement No pre-established timing for outcome measurement
Berens, Yesavage 1982	Retrospectiv e comparison with historical controls	N=30 (including 25 patients from Yesavage, Berens 1980 study below)	13 patients received MECT. Analysis limited to major depression N=12 Age: 51	17 patients received SECT Analysis limited to major depression N=14 Age: 57	Efficacy and safety: "improved" or "not improved" by discharge summary Side effects by chart review Other: # of sessions of anesthesia, of seizures, duration of treatment, dosage of succinyl choline, thiopental, blood pressure, ECG	10 MECT and 11 SECT patients improved One memory impairment complaint for MECT; 4 for SECT (non-significant) Statistically significant: Days of treatment: 11 for MECT; 27 for SECT Number of sessions: 4.9 for MECT; 11.7 for SECT Mean dose of succinyl choline: 0.7 (MECT) and 1 (SECT)	The addition of five patients in the SECT group and the removal of age as a criterion for inclusion in the analysis did not alter results significantly Limitations of very similar study by same authors below apply.

Article review. Psychiatric conditions. Evidence table 1 (cont.)

Author, Year, Study design	Study Design	# of patients; population, setting	Description of intervention	Descriptn. of controls	Outcome assessed, instruments	Results	Comments (limitations, potential bias)
Yesavage, Berens 1980	Retrospective comparison with historical controls (SECT changed to MECT as routine modality at hospital in 1977).	N=25; Inpatient setting (VA) Most >45 y/o Endogenous depression Patients were classified as MECT if > 50% of total number of seizures were received in that modality	13 patients received MECT (up to 4 seizures per session) Inclusion criteria ND Analysis limited to those > 45 y/o with depression (N=10) Average age: 55.6 y	12 patients received SECT (more than 50% of treatments) Inclusion criteria ND Same criteria for analysis (N=10) Average age: 59.8 y	Efficacy and safety: "improved" or "not improved" by discharge summary Side effects by chart review ECT application outcomes: number of sessions of anesthesia, of seizures, duration of treatment, dosage of succinyl choline, thiopental, blood pressure, ECG	Not statistically significant: 8 MECT and 6 SECT patients improved One complaint of memory impairment in MECT group and 4 for SECT Statistically significant: Mean number of seizures: 11.3 for MECT; 12 for SECT) Days of treatment: 10.8 for MECT; 28.1 for SECT Number of sessions: 4.9 for MECT; 11.9 for SECT Mean dosage of succinyl choline: 0.68 (MECT) and 0.97 (SECT)	Retrospective review; treatment given in different time periods; non-randomized; small number of subjects. Questionable comparability of groups (e.g., age, possibility of selection bias) Non-standardized assessment instruments (possibility of observation bias)
Abrams 1972	Case series	38 patients, age ND; setting ND; diagnoses ND	23 patients received MECT-4; 15 received MECT-6 3 electrode placements	Self in cases 5, 6 and 7.	Complications of the procedure Post-ictal confusional state Improvement of clinical conditions	Cases 5, 6, 7 who had previously responded to SECT had "disappointing" MECT results; two received SECT subsequently and recovered Confusional state only after MECT-6, two with "prolonged" confusion (greater incidence?) Accelerated response to treatment in some instances	No comparison group; informal measures of outcome and side-effects Patient population not sufficiently characterized

Article review. Psychiatric conditions. Evidence table 1 (cont.)

Author,	Study	# of patients;	Description	Descriptn.	Outcome assessed,	Results	Comments
Year, Study	Design	population,	of	Of	instruments		(limitations,
design		setting	intervention	controls			potential bias)
Strain	Case report	62 y/o	Four seizures	Self; two	Clinical	Less therapeutic effect than	First report on
1971		woman	during one	previous	improvement	with previous SECT	neurological and
		admitted to a	anesthetic	depressive	Adverse effects	Complications: very prolonged	cerebrovascular
		psychiatric	session to be	episodes,	Complications	(>50 min) first treatment fourth	complications with
		hospital unit;	repeated 48	responsive	Memory	seizure (status epilepticus);	MECT. Authors
		depression of	hours later	to 6-8	impairment	cerebrovascular episode after	highlight higher risk
		3 months		SECT		4 th seizure (left- sided	of cardiovascular
				sessions		weakness, blurred vision) not	events and prolonged
						completely resolved by the time	seizures with MECT
						of discharge 4 weeks later	particularly in elderly

Article review: Neurological conditions. Evidence table 2.

Author, Year, Study design	Study Design	# of patients; population, setting	Description of intervention	Descriptn. of controls	Outcome assessed, instruments	Results	Comments (limitations, potential bias)
Griesemer 1997	Case series	13 y/o boy w/ microgyria; 10 y/o girl w/ microcephaly both w/ seizures unresponsive to medication	Patient 1: one alternate-date and one consecutive-day SECT series, plus 3 (4 seizure) MECT sessions Patient 2: one alternate-date plus 2 consecutive-day SECT series	Self	Change in pattern of seizures (drop attacks, head drops partial, tonic, tonic-clonic seizures, lethargic state, non-convulsive status epilepticus) Side-effects: ND	Transient reduction in frequency of seizure episodes after ECT Apparent correlation between therapeutic effect and frequency of administration (alternate-day, consecutive-day SECT, MECT)	No assessment of adverse effects. Authors suggest "intensity" of ECT protocols for intractable seizure may differ from those for treatment of depression but warn that benefit may be transient. Urge further study of patient selection, protocols and maintenance therapy.
McKinney 1997	Case report	19 y/o woman with Neuroleptic Malignant Syndrome (NMS) admitted to ICU	Three weeks post admission 4 sessions of bilateral ECT within 5 days 3 seizures 1st session; one seizure in sessions 2 and 3; two in session 4	Self		More alert and responsive to stimuli; fever decreased; pulse and blood pressure more stable Post-ictal myoclonic jerks led to gastrostomy with ensuing complications Discharge after one month ("almost back to normal")	Authors do not advocate routine use of MECT except when "considerations of efficacy completely overshadow concerns about side effects." Recommend that MECT or daily ECT sessions be used when urgent

Article review: Neurological conditions. Evidence table 2 (cont.)

Author,	Study	# of patients;	Description	Descriptn.	Outcome assessed,	Results	Comments
Year, Study	Design	population,	of	of controls	instruments		(limitations,
design		setting	intervention				potential bias)
Zeidenberg	Case report	31 y/o white	After two	Self	Blood pressure,	Hypertension from 140/100 mg	Lack of availability
1976		man with	SECT		pulse during	Hg to 220/160 during MECT	of an anesthesiologist
		muscular	sessions		procedure	returning to 120/80 thereafter.	to complete
		dystrophy	spaced one		Observed clinical	Tachycardia 100/min to	conventional ECT
		with severe	week apart		outcome (feeding,	180/min; short run of	was "practical
		depression	due to lack of		responsiveness)	ventricular premature	problem" addressed
		(psychomotor	anesthesia,		and memory	contractions after 4 th seizure.	through use of ECT
		retardation,	patient			Parameters for SECT: ND	(conventional SECT
		weight loss)	received			Took food, answered questions.	not available with the
		admitted to	single MECT			Retrograde amnesia for	required frequency)
		inpatient	session (5			previous few days	
		psychiatric	seizures)			Brief remission after each	
		unit				session of SECT	